

Intel Corporation
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Intel Legal Team

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 28 2006

Fax

Page 1 of 21
Date: 28-Feb-06

To: Tung X. Nguyen Fax: 571-273-8300 Phone: 571-272-1967
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

From: Cynthia Thomas Faatz Fax: 408-765-4087 Phone: 503-268-7347

Subject: Appeal Brief In Application Serial No. 10/816,018

A CONFIRMATION COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT:

WILL NOT BE SENT

Application No.: 10/816,018
Filing Date: March 31, 2004
First Named Inventor: Marijan Persun et al.
Group Art Unit: 2829
Examiner Name: Nguyen, Tung X.
Attorney Docket No.: 42P14976X

Enclosures:

1. Transmittal Form (1 page).
2. Fee Transmittal for FY 2006 (1 page in duplicate).
3. Appeal Brief (17 pages).

Important Notice

This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

FEB 28 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/816,018
	Filing Date	March 31, 2004
	First Named Inventor	Marjan Persun et al.
	Art Unit	2629
	Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tung X.
	Attorney Docket Number	42P14976X
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		20

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Intel Corporation		
Signature	/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/		
Printed name	Cynthia Thomas Faatz		
Date	February 28, 2006	Reg. No.	39,973

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:			
Signature	/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/		
Typed or printed name	Cynthia Thomas Faatz	Date	February 28, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

FEB 28 2006

PTO/SB/17 (01-08)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2006☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500.00

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/816,018
Filing Date	March 31, 2004
First Named Inventor	Marjan Persun et al.
Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tung X.
Art Unit	2829
Attorney Docket No.	42P14976X

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0221 Deposit Account Name: Intel Corporation

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION (All the fees below are due upon filing or may be subject to a surcharge.)**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	0
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	0
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	0
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	0
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	0

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**Fee Description**

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) _____

Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) _____

Multiple dependent claims _____

Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
50	25
200	100
360	180

Total Claims _____ **Extra Claims** _____ **Fee (\$)** _____ **Fee Paid (\$)** _____

26 - 20 or HP = 0 x 50 = 0

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims _____ **Extra Claims** _____ **Fee (\$)** _____ **Fee Paid (\$)** _____

3 - 3 or HP = 0 x 200 = 0

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

Multiple Dependent Claims

Fee (\$) _____ **Fee Paid (\$)** _____

360 0

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets _____ **Extra Sheets** _____ **Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof** _____ **Fee (\$)** _____ **Fee Paid (\$)** _____

0 - 100 = 0 / 50 = 0 (round up to a whole number) x 0 = 0

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Appeal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. section 41.37

Fees Paid (\$)

0

500.00

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,973	Telephone	530-268-7347
Name (Print/Type)	Cynthia Thomas Faatz			Date	February 28, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.134. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 28 2006

42P14976X

Patent**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Application of:

Marijan Peršun et al.

Serial No.: 10/816,018

Filing Date: March 31, 2004

For: A METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR MEASURING RELATIVE,
WITHIN DIE LEAKAGE
CURRENT AND/OR PROVIDING
A TEMPERATURE VARIATION
PROFILE USING A LEAKAGE
INVERTER AND RING
OSCILLATOR

Examiner: Nguyen, Tung X.

Art Unit: 2829

Mail-Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

Appellants submit herewith an Appeal Brief as required by 37 C.F.R. §

41.37. This Appeal Brief is in response to the Final Office Action dated

September 29, 2005 and the Advisory Action dated December 13, 2005.

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is Intel Corporation, a corporation of Delaware.

03/01/2006 SDENB081 00000064 500221 10816018

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

42P14976X

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no other appeals or interferences known to Appellants which relate to, directly affect or are directly affected by the Board's decision in this appeal.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-7 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as considered to be anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,410,278 to Itoh et al. ("Itoh").

Claims 8-12 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being considered to be unpatentable over Itoh in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,657,504 to Deal et al. ("Deal").

Claims 26-28 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being considered to be unpatentable over Deal in view of Itoh.

Claims 13-25 are allowed.

The rejections of claims 1-12 and 26-28 are appealed. These claims are reproduced in the attached Claims Appendix, which includes all currently pending claims.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS:

An After Final Amendment was filed on November 29, 2005. In the After Final Amendment, claims 1, 7 and 26 were amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that appellants regard as the invention

to place the claims in better form for consideration on appeal. The Advisory Action mailed December 13, 2005 indicates at item 7 that the After Final Amendment will be entered for purposes of appeal.

A copy of the claims on appeal (claims 1-12 and 26-28) is provided in the attached Claims Appendix, which includes all currently pending claims.

V. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION:

Regarding independent claims 1, 7 and 26 an apparatus or method may include a circuit element (e.g. an inverter or other arrangement of n-type and p-type devices) having a switching delay in only one direction that is directly proportional to a leakage current of one of the devices in the circuit element (page 5, para. [0015] – page 12, para. [0036], Figures 1A (element 100), 1B (element 150), 2A-2D (elements 200, 250, 270 and 280, respectively)). The circuit element of the apparatus or method may be included in one or more ring oscillators (page 12, para. [0037] – page 23, para. [0069], Figures 3-8 (elements 300, 400, 500, 600, 700 and 800, respectively), Figures 10-11 and 13-14), which may include an enable input (page 15, para. [0045] – page 16, para. [0048], e.g. Figure 3, element 325) to control enablement of the one or more ring oscillators and/or control at least a first leakage inverter. The frequency of the one or more ring oscillators may be used to determine one of a local temperature or relative leakage current (e.g. page 23, para. [0070] – page 25, para. [0076], Figure 12, acts 1225 and 1230).

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION:

A. Claims 1-7 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as considered to be anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,410,278 to Itoh et al. ("Itoh").

B. Claims 8-12 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being considered to be unpatentable over Itoh in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,657,504 to Deal et al. ("Deal").

C. Claims 26-28 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being considered to be unpatentable over Deal in view of Itoh.

VII. ARGUMENT:

A. Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Itoh

Appellants respectfully traverse the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejection of claims 1-7 over Itoh.

Claim 1 includes the limitations

an n-type and a p-type device coupled between first and second supply voltages at a terminal; and
an output node coupled to the terminal, the output node, during operation of the apparatus, to provide an output signal having a switching delay in only one direction that is directly proportional to a leakage current of one of the n-type and p-type devices.

(Claim 1)(Emphasis added).

Appellants respectfully submit that Itoh fails to teach at least the claimed apparatus as set forth in claim 1 to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the apparatus.

Itoh discloses a ring oscillator that includes a plurality of inverters (11a, 11b and 11c in Figure 1), a leakage current generating part (12), and a current controlling part (13). The current controlling part supplies the inverters with a source current in accordance with a value of a leakage current generated from the leakage current generating part. The leakage current generated from the leakage current generating part is correlated with a leakage current generated from a memory cell. (Itoh, Abstract).

Figure 3a of Itoh shows the inverter circuits of Itoh in more detail and Figure 3b shows the relationship between the input voltage "A" and the output voltage B of the inverter 11a. There is no disclosure in Itoh to teach or suggest that a transition in only one direction at an output node is correlated with a leakage current of a device in the apparatus of Itoh.

In the Final Office Action, it is stated that the Examiner disagrees with Appellants' position as stated above because it appears that the leakage current generated from the memory cell would inherently include a leakage current of the inverter itself. (Final Office Action mailed September 29, 2005, page 7, paragraph 8). In the Advisory Action, it is stated that Itoh teaches in Fig. 1, 11a-c in a ring oscillator having inverters in series together, and the signal of each one having transition in only one direction (figure 1) and that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of an inverter (12 of figure 1).

Assuming, for purposes of argument, that the leakage current generated from the memory cell of Itoh would inherently include a leakage current of an inverter of Itoh, Itoh would still fail to teach or suggest the claimed output node

that has a transition in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of an inverter.

The Examiner appears to be stating that each of the inverters in the ring oscillator has a signal that transitions in just one direction, and that that transition is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the inverter. This, however, is not a fair characterization of the claims.

As is well-known in the art, observance of a signal output from an inverter indicates transitions from high to low and from low to high over time, i.e. transitions in both directions. As set forth in claim 1, the claimed apparatus has a switching delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device in the apparatus.

Because the Office Action does not provide any evidence that Itoh includes such a limitation, a *prima facie* case of anticipation has not been established.

For at least this reason, claim 1 is patentably distinguished over Itoh. Claims 2-5 depend from and further limit claim 1, and thus, should also be found to be patentably distinguished over Itoh for at least the reasons set forth above.

Hence, the § 102(b) rejection of claims 1-7 is improper and should be reversed.

B. Claims 8-12 are patentably distinguished under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Itoh and Deal, alone or in combination

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See e.g. M.P.E.P. § 2143.

Appellants respectfully traverse the § 103(a) rejection of claims 8-12 over Itoh in view of Deal. A *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established at least because there is no motivation to combine the references and, even if they were to be combined, the combination would fail to teach or suggest the claimed features of appellants' invention.

It is stated in the Final Office Action that a combination of Itoh and Deal teaches the claimed features of applicants' invention as set forth in claims 8-12. Appellants respectfully submit that such a combination would not be made.

In particular, Itoh determines the oscillating frequency of a ring oscillator based on a leakage current of a leakage generating part (as argued above) while Deal determines the oscillating frequency of a ring oscillator based on a ring clock count value and a system clock count value (Deal, Abstract). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine Itoh and Deal.

Further, even if Deal and Itoh were to be combined, the combination would still fail to teach or suggest the claimed features of appellants' invention.

Claims 8-12 depend from and further limit claim 7, which includes a similar limitation as claim 1 argued above.

For at least the reasons argued above in reference to claim 1, Itoh does not teach or suggest the claimed features of appellants' invention including at least the claimed apparatus that provides an output signal having transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of one of a p- and an n-type device in the apparatus.

Further, there is no teaching or suggestion in Deal, and the Examiner does not suggest that there is any teaching in Deal, of the claimed apparatus that provides an output signal having a transition delay that is related to leakage, much less a transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of one of a p or n type device in the apparatus. Thus, the combination of Itoh and Deal would also fail to teach or suggest such a feature.

For at least this reason, claims 8-12, which depend from and further limit claim 7, are patentably distinguished over Itoh and Deal, alone or in combination. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 8-12 is therefore improper and should be withdrawn.

C. Claims 26-28 are patentably distinguished under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Deal and Itoh, alone or in combination

Appellants respectfully traverse the § 103(a) rejection of claims 26-28 over Deal in view of Itoh.

First, as argued above in reference to claims 8-12, there is no motivation to combine the Deal and Itoh references.

Second, even if such a combination were to be made, the combination would still fail to teach or suggest the claimed features of appellants' invention.

Independent claim 26, like independent claim 7, sets forth a leakage inverter and includes a limitation similar to that argued above in reference to claim 1. For at least the same reasons argued in reference to claim 1 and claims 8-12 (and claim 7 implicitly), a combination of Deal and Itoh, were such a combination to be made, would fail to teach or suggest the claimed features of applicants' invention as set forth in claim 26 including at least detecting a frequency of a leakage ring oscillator on an integrated circuit wherein the leakage ring oscillator includes at least a first leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter over a first temperature range.

Claims 27-28 depend from and further limit claim 26.

Thus, claims 26-28 should be found to be patentably distinguished over Deal and Itoh, alone or in combination.

The rejection of claims 26-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is therefore improper and should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Appellants respectfully solicit the Honorable Board to reverse the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-12 and 26-28.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 28, 2006

/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/

Cynthia Thomas Faatz
Registration No. 39,973
Intel Corporation
M/S SC4-202
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119
(530)268-7347

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

(37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 28, 2006.

Cynthia Thomas Faatz
Name of Person Sending Facsimile

/Cynthia Thomas Faatz/
Signature

Claims Appendix

1. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:
an n-type and a p-type device coupled between first and second supply voltages at a terminal; and
an output node coupled to the terminal, the output node, during operation of the apparatus, to provide an output signal having a switching delay in only one direction that is directly proportional to ~~[[the]]~~ a leakage current of one of the n-type and p-type devices.
2. (Original) The apparatus of claim 1 wherein
the n-type and p-type devices are coupled to function as an inverter.
3. (Original) The apparatus of claim 1 wherein
drains of the n-type and p-type devices are coupled to each other at the terminal;
a gate of a first one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to receive an input signal, and
a gate of a second one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to receive a bias voltage during operation that results in the gate-to-source voltage of the second device being less than the threshold voltage of the second device.

4. (Original) The apparatus of claim 3 wherein the gate of the second device is coupled to the source of the second device.

5. (Original) The apparatus of claim 1 wherein
a gate of a first one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to receive an input signal, and

a gate of a second one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to the output node and the source and drain of the second one of the n-type and p-type devices are both coupled to receive one of the first and second supply voltages.

6. (Original) The apparatus of claim 1 wherein
a gate of a first one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to receive an input signal,

a gate of a second one of the n-type and p-type devices is coupled to receive one of the first and second supply voltages, and

a source and drain of the second device are both coupled to the output node.

7. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:

a ring oscillator including,

at least one leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a signal transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the first leakage inverter, and

one or more static stages,
the ring oscillator to provide an oscillating output signal.

8. (Original) The apparatus of claim 7 wherein
the at least one leakage inverter includes a leaky device having a gate
coupled to a receive a bias voltage during operation, the bias voltage being a
sub-threshold gate-to-source voltage, and
wherein the leakage current is a drain leakage current.
9. (Original) The apparatus of claim 8 wherein
the gate of the leaky device is coupled to receive an enable signal, the
leaky device to be turned on in response to the enable signal being deasserted.
10. (Original) The apparatus of claim 7 wherein,
the at least one leakage inverter includes a leaky device having a source
and drain coupled to receive a supply voltage and a gate coupled to an output
node of the leakage inverter, and
wherein the leakage current is a gate leakage current.
11. (Original) The apparatus of claim 7 wherein,
the at least one leakage inverter includes a leaky device having a gate
coupled to receive a supply voltage and a source and drain coupled to an output
node of the leakage inverter.

12. (Original) The apparatus of claim 7 wherein, the ring oscillator includes at least three leakage inverters, and wherein a frequency of the oscillating output signal varies in proportion to the leakage current of the device.

13. (Original) An apparatus comprising:
an enable input to receive an enable signal; and
a leakage ring oscillator to be enabled in response to the enable signal being asserted, the leakage ring oscillator including
at least a first leakage inverter including a leaky device, the leaky device to be substantially fully turned on in response to the enable signal being deasserted; and
an output to provide an oscillating output signal in response to the leakage ring oscillator being enabled, a frequency of the oscillating output signal being dependent upon a leakage current of the first leakage inverter while the leakage ring oscillator is enabled.

14. (Original) The apparatus of claim 13 wherein the leakage ring oscillator includes at least three leakage inverters, each of the three leakage inverters including a leaky device to be substantially fully turned on and another device to be substantially fully turned off in response to the enable signal being deasserted.

15. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14 wherein at least one of the three leakage inverters includes a device coupled to receive a sub-threshold gate-to-source voltage in response to the enable signal being asserted.

16. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14 wherein at least one of the three leakage inverters includes a device having a source and drain coupled to receive a same supply voltage and a gate coupled to an output of the leakage inverter.

17. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14 wherein at least one of the three leakage inverters includes a device having a gate coupled to receive a supply voltage and a source and drain both coupled to an output of the leakage inverter.

18. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14 wherein at least one of the three leakage inverters is coupled such that the leakage current is a drain leakage current.

19. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14 wherein at least one of the three leakage inverters is coupled such that the leakage current is a gate leakage current.

20. (Original) An integrated circuit comprising:

a plurality of leakage ring oscillators, each of the leakage ring oscillators including at least a first leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter, each of the plurality of leakage ring oscillators to provide an oscillating output signal, a frequency of the respective oscillating output signal to indicate at least one of a local temperature and leakage current; and

an externally-accessible output circuit coupled to receive the oscillating output signal.

21. (Original) The integrated circuit of claim 20 wherein the externally-accessible output circuit is a test access port.

22. (Original) The integrated circuit of claim 20 wherein the externally-accessible output circuit includes a control register.

23. (Original) The integrated circuit of claim 20 wherein at least one of the leakage ring oscillators includes at least three leakage inverters.

24. (Original) The integrated circuit of claim 23 wherein the at least three leakage inverters indicate local gate leakage.

25. (Original) The integrated circuit of claim 23 wherein the at least three leakage inverters indicate local channel leakage.

26. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:
detecting a frequency of a leakage ring oscillator on an integrated circuit, the leakage ring oscillator including at least a first leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in only one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter over a first temperature range; and
determining one of a local temperature or relative leakage current in response to the detected frequency.

27. (Original) The method of claim 26 wherein determining comprises
accessing data indicating leakage ring oscillator frequency versus at least one of temperature and leakage current.

28. (Original) The method of claim 26 further comprising:
characterizing each of the leakage ring oscillators at different temperatures; and
developing a look-up table indicating frequency of the oscillating output signal versus temperature.